How Factorization Improves NeRF

D-NeRF and FastNeRF

May 18, 2022 Seokhyeon Hong

May 18, 2022 How Factorization Improves NeRF 1

Contents

- I. Recap
- II. D-NeRF
- III. FastNeRF

May 18, 2022 **How Factorization Improves NeRF**

KAIST Visual Media Lab. **75.** D.

3

▪ NeX

- Adding view-dependency in MPI
	- − Reflectance coefficients and neural basis functions

▪ Ref-NeRF

- Improved view-dependency in NeRF
	- − Integrated directional encoding (IDE)

▪ Summary

• Enhanced view-dependency

Rougher

D-NeRF: Neural Radiance Fields for Dynamic Scenes [Pumarola et al. CVPR 2021]

May 18, 2022 **How Factorization Improves NeRF**

7

ELIMITATIONS OF NERF

- Training time
- Inference time
- Scalability
- Camera calibration
- Bounded scenes
- Static scenes

ELIMITATIONS OF NERF

- Training time
- Inference time
- Scalability
- Camera calibration
- Bounded scenes
- Static scenes

▪ Purpose

• NeRF in a dynamic domain

■ Purpose

- NeRF in a dynamic domain
	- $-$ Original NeRF: $(x, y, z, θ, φ) → (r, g, b, σ)$
	- − Naïve approach: $(x, y, z, \theta, \phi, t)$ → (r, g, b, σ)
		- › Called as T-NeRF

 $\overline{}$

▪ Main Idea

• Factorization

 \star (x+ Δ x,y+ Δ y,z+ Δ z, θ , ϕ) \star

 Ψ_x

Scene Canonical Space

D-NeRF

▪ Main Idea

- Factorization
	- Deformation network Ψ_{def}
		- \rightarrow Deformation field of a specific time instant with respect to the canonical space

Deformed Scene

 \star (x,y,z,t) \star $\boxed{\parallel}$ \rightarrow (Δ x, Δ y, Δ z)

 Ψ_t

- − Canonical network Ψ_{can}
	- \rightarrow Color and density given a point and a direction

Scene Canonical Space

▪ Constraints

• Objects

- − Movable and deformable
- − NOT allowed to appear or disappear
- Camera
	- − Only a single camera is used

E Deformation Network

• Formulation

$$
- \Psi_{\text{def}}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \begin{cases} \Delta \mathbf{x} & \text{if } t \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0 \end{cases}
$$

- $-$ Positional encoding $γ(p) = <(sin(2^lπp), cos(2^lπp)) >_0^L$
	- $\angle L = 10$ for x
	- \rightarrow $L = 4$ for **d** and *t*

- **Example 2 Canonical Network**
	- Formulation
		- $\Psi_{\text{can}}(x + \Delta x, d) = (c, \sigma)$

▪ Volume Rendering

• NeRF's volume rendering equation

$$
C(p) = \int_{h_n}^{h_f} T(h, t) \sigma(\mathbf{x}(h)) \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x}(h), \mathbf{d}) dh,
$$

where $T(h, t) = \exp\left(-\int_{h_n}^h \sigma(\mathbf{x}(s)) ds\right)$ and $\mathbf{x}(h) = \mathbf{o} + h\mathbf{d}$

▪ Volume Rendering

- D-NeRF's volume rendering equation
	- $-$ Just the time parameter t is added

$$
C(p,t) = \int_{h_n}^{h_f} T(h,t)\sigma(\mathbf{p}(h,t))\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{p}(h,t),\mathbf{d})dh,
$$
\nwhere $T(h,t) = \exp\left(-\int_{h_n}^{h} \sigma(\mathbf{p}(s,t))ds\right)$ and $\mathbf{p}(h,t) = \mathbf{x}(h) + \Psi_t(\mathbf{x}(h),t)$
\n
\nRay point
\nin the canonical scene

▪ Volume Rendering

• Recap the overview

E Network

- MLP
	- − Ψdef and Ψcan consist of 8-layer MLPs with ReLU activation
- L2 loss
	- − MSE between the rendered and real pixels

Synthesis Results

Closest Input Time

Visualization of the Learned Scene Representation

D-NeRF Radiance $(as RGB)$

D-NeRF Volume Density (as Mesh) (as Depth)

D-NeRF Canonical Mapping (color-coded as $\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x}$)

▪ Results

▪ Results $\operatorname{D-NeRF}$

▪ Contributions

- Dynamic scenes
	- − Time as well as novel camera configuration are considered
	- − Only one view per each time instance

ELimitations

- Failure at poor camera poses
- Missing large deformations
	- − Higher frame rate can resolve this problem
- Missing small details
- Limited by a fixed sequence

FastNeRF: High-Fidelity Neural Rendering at 200FPS [Garbin et al. ICCV 2021]

ELIMITATIONS OF NERF

- Training time
- Inference time
- Scalability
- Camera calibration
- Bounded scenes
- Static scenes

▪ Purpose

• Rendering NeRF in real-time

- Caching
	- − Trade-off between memory and time
	- − Naïve approach
		- \rightarrow Store every pair of (x, y, z, θ, ϕ) and (r, g, b, σ)
		- $\overline{\partial} \Omega^{(k^3l^2)}$ memory requirement (k : resolution for positions, l : resolution for directions)
		- \rightarrow 5600TB when $k = l = 1024$

▪ Main Idea

• NeRF

- NeRF
	- − Factorization
		- › Density: position-dependent
		- › Color: position- and direction-dependent

- Factorization
	- − From what we have learned...
	- − Rendering equation

$$
L_o(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{d}) = \int_{\Omega} f_r(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{d}, \omega_i) L_i(\mathbf{p}, \omega_i) (\omega_i \cdot \mathbf{n}) d\omega_i
$$

- Factorization
	- − Spherical harmonics for approximation of rendering equation

- Factorization
	- − Spherical harmonics for approximation of rendering equation
	- − Dot product!

▪ Main Idea

• Factorization

E Network Architecture

- Outputs
	- $-$ Position-dependent network F_{pos}
		- › Density
		- \rightarrow D-dimensional deep radiance map
	- $−$ Direction-dependent network F_{dir}
		- \rightarrow D-dimensional weights for the deep radiance map

E Network Architecture

- $F_{\text{pos}}(\mathbf{p})$
	- $-F_{\text{pos}}(\mathbf{p}) = (\sigma, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ where $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^D$
	- − 8-layer with 384 hidden units
- $F_{\text{dir}}(\mathbf{d})$
	- $-F_{\text{dir}}(\mathbf{d}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}$ where $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^D$
	- − 4-layer with 256 hidden units
- Output

$$
- \mathbf{c} = (r, g, b) = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \beta_i (\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{w}_i) = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \cdot (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})
$$

▪ Caching

- Naïve approach
	- $O(k^3 l^2)$
		- \rightarrow k : resolution for positions
		- \rightarrow : resolution for directions
		- \rightarrow 5600TB when $k = l = 1024$

▪ Caching

- FastNeRF
	- $O(k^3(1+3D) + l^2D)$
		- \rightarrow k : resolution for positions
		- \rightarrow : resolution for directions
		- \rightarrow D : dimension of deep radiance maps
		- \rightarrow 54GB when $k = l = 1024, D = 8$

▪ Caching

- Is the size reasonable?
	- − Smaller cache is enough in most cases
		- λ $k = 512, l = 256$
	- − Original NeRF actually spends more memory for inference
		- › 192 forward passes through an 8-layer 256 hidden unit MLP per pixel
		- \rightarrow Therefore, tremendous memory will be spent when NeRF is parallelized for similar performance

Neural radiance fields (NeRF)

NeRF@800x800 pixels - 0.06FPS

Comparison to NeRF

NeRF-17.5K ms per frame

FastNeRF - 5.6 ms per frame

Deep radiance map

Output render

Deep radiance map components

Cache $256³$

Cache 512^3

Cache 768^3

▪ Results

Applications

▪ Results

• Quantitative comparison

▪ Results

• Quantitative comparison

▪ Results

- Ablation study on
	- − Resolution
	- − Value of

▪ Contributions

- (More than) Real-time rendering
	- − No forward passes are called by caching
	- − Resolution rarely matters
- Reasonable memory requirement
	- − Much less than the original NeRF parallelized for similar runtime performance

ELED Limitations

- Others than the rendering time were not solved
	- − Training time, camera calibration, scalability, ...
	- Convergence with other methods can resolve this problem
- Quality cannot outperform the baseline
- Sparse caching decreases the rendering quality
	- − More memory is enforced for higher quality
- Reasonable but still burdensome memory requirement

Q&A

Seokhyeon Hong

ghd3079@kaist.ac.kr

May 18, 2022 **How Factorization Improves NeRF** بالمستخدم المستخدم الم

