Adaptive Impulse Response Modeling for Interactive Sound Propagation

Author: CARL SCHISSLER and DINESH MANOCHA University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Presenter: Andrew Kim

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Goal / Problems
- 3. Solutions
	- 1. Impulse Response Cache
	- 2. Adaptive Impulse Response Length
- 4. Results
- 5. Limitations
- 6. Summary

Video

■ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_7LYndtug2k

Introduction

- Realistic sounds are important in user's immersion in virtual environments.
- The computation of interactive sound propagation in a complex scene is expensive
	- The number of rays to trace increases as the order of reflection increases

Goal / Problems

Goal:

Render **impulse response (IR)** in a **complex scene** at an **interactive rate**

- **Problems:**
	- \blacksquare The complexity of geometric sound propagation algorithm depends on the computation of IRs
	- Choosing the appropriate IR length is a major issue in cutting off sounds that are inaudible to human ear

■ 2. Adaptive Impulse Response Length

■ 2. Adaptive Impulse Response Length

- At frame n, uniform random rays are traced, generating a slightly different impulse response from that of previous frames
- **D** Let's call this the **current frame's tracing output,** \widetilde{h}_n^i

- The Impulse Response Cache has the final IR sample of the previous frame n-1
- \blacktriangleright Let's call this **previous frame's final IR sample,** H_{n-1}^i

- **Contribution weight** α is distributed to these two different IR samples
- They are then added together to calculate the **final IR sample of current frame n,**
- \blacktriangleright The final IR sample H_n^i is stored into the Impulse Response Cache for future IR calculation of the next frame, H_{n+1}^i

Current frame's tracing output Previous frame's final IR sample

Final IR sample

$$
H_n^i = \alpha \tilde{h}_n^i + (1 - \alpha) H_{n-1}^i
$$

Contribution of current frame's IR

Contribution of previous frame's final IR sample

- Previous frame's final IR sample, H_{n-1}^i
- \blacktriangleright Current frame's tracing output, \tilde{h}_n^i ι

Contribution weight α is distributed to these two different IR samples

$$
H_n^i = \alpha \tilde{h}_n^i + (1 - \alpha) H_{n-1}^i
$$

- Rays from previous frames contribute to the calculation of IR **better quality**
- Fewer rays are traced each frame **faster computation time**

- **Contribution weight** α has values between **0** and 1
- Value closer to **1** means more contribution from current frame's tracing output
	- The system is more responsive to dynamic changes in the scene
- Value closer to **0** means more contribution from previous frame's final IR sample
	- The system benefits more from the cache but be less responsive

Current frame's tracing output

\nFinal IR sample

\n
$$
H_n^i = \alpha \tilde{h}_n^i + (1 - \alpha) H_{n-1}^i
$$

\blacktriangleright Determining Contribution weight α :

- The contribution of jth previous frame is $\alpha (1-\alpha)^j$
- If this value is less than some small value ϵ ,
- Solving this equation gives $\alpha = 1 \epsilon^{\Delta t/\tau}$
- \bullet τ is the filtering window

$$
\alpha(1-\alpha)^j \leq \epsilon \qquad \qquad \alpha = 1 - \epsilon^{\Delta t/\tau}
$$

■ Determining filtering window τ :

- Smaller value of τ is chosen towards beginning of IR and a larger value towards the end
- Delay time d
- \blacksquare It is possible to use other function for τ

 $\tau = \max(\beta d, \tau_{min})$

2. Adaptive Impulse Response Length

2. Adaptive Impulse Response Length

- **Psychoacoustic metric** is used to determine human audible IR length for each frequency band
- **If IR length is greater than the threshold, rays are not traced further**

2. Adaptive Impulse Response Length

- However, simply tracing rays upto the threshold **L** artificially cuts IR length if listener enters a more reverberant space
- \blacktriangleright $L_{max} = L + \Delta L$
- The larger the value of ΔL , the quicker the system reacts to the change in IR length, at the expense of tracing further rays

Results

- IR Cache uses **2 times less memory** than standard approach
- **Less rays are traced** due to the use of IR cache, increasing performance

Results

 Using Adaptive IR Length compared to Static IR Length provides **30%~60% speedup**

Limitations

- Since it is based on ray-tracing, it cannot accurately simulate low frequency sounds
- Maximum diffraction order was limited to 3, so some sound paths may be missed
- The psychoacoustic metric may not apply to all users as they have different hearing threshold

Summary

- Render **impulse response (IR)** in a **complex scene** at an **interactive rate**
	- **1. Impulse Response Cache**
	- 2. Adaptive Impulse Response Length
- Successful in reducing computation overhead and memory usage with accurate estimate to ground-truth IR